

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 Northern District of California  
9 San Francisco Division

10 DIANA JAMES,  
11 Plaintiff,

No. C 12-6318 WHO

12 v.  
13 AT&T UMBRELLA BENEFIT PLAN NO. 1,  
14 Defendant.

**ORDER RE: ATTENDANCE AT ENE**

15 / Date: August 20, 2013  
16 Evaluator: Bradford Huss

17 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the request to excuse defendant AT&T Umbrella Benefit  
18 Plan No. 1's client representative, John D. Adkins, from appearing in person at the August 20, 2013,  
19 ENE before Bradford Huss is DENIED. The court finds that defendant has not adequately shown  
20 how requiring Mr. Adkins to attend the ENE in person would cause him 'extraordinary or otherwise  
21 unjustifiable hardship' as set for in ADR L.R. 5-10(d). Accordingly, the request is DENIED and  
22 Mr. Adkins shall attend the session in person.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 August 2, 2013

25 By:

26 Dated

27  
28   
Maria-Elena James  
United States Magistrate Judge